CENTER ROUTING SLIP FRApproved For Release 2005/06/96: CIA-RDP78B04770A001200060010-3 DATE Chief, Development Staff, TDS 9 Oct 67 INITIALS DATE REMARKS DIR DEP/DIR EXEC/DIR TECH ADV SPECIAL ASST CH/SS ASST FOR P&M ASST FOR OPS ASST FOR PA ASST FOR TD XX10-1 CH/CSD CH/IPD CH/PD CH/PSD CH/TID CH/IAS/DDI CH/DIA/XX-4 CH/DIA/AP-IP **NGA Review** CH/SPAD Complete LO/CGS/CI elease 2005/06/06 64A-RDP78B04770A001200060p10-3 Lo/NAppreved ! IP FM 30 (2-67)

25X1

25X1

SECRET

Approved For Redease 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001200060010-3

NPIC/TDS/D-1060-67 9 October 1967

	MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant for Technical Development	
	SUBJECT : Comments by on Advanced 940 Light Table Prototype Staff Study	25X <sup>2</sup>
25X1	1. On 5 October 1967 and I met with to discuss his questions. I feel that we answered them all satisfactorily and want to make this a memorandum for you reflecting the answers which we gave him.	25X <sup>-</sup>
	Question #1. This question is completely answered by the NPIC Equipment Summary, pages 78 and 79.	
	Question #2. We do not know how many personnel it will take to accomplish the proposed retrofit or how long.	
	Question #3. The in-house operational test would be the same as that given a contracted 940 light table. This is the responsibility of who would prepare a test as the table is being built.	25X′
25X1	Question #4. would be faced with meeting manufacturing specifications for a table that is already built. Our trouble with them to date has been in the R&D process.	25X <sup>2</sup>
25X1 25X1	briefed at length on the difficulties we have had with the and, I think, convinced him that they are worse than implicated in this memo.	23/
	Question #5. This is also answered by the Equipment Summary.	
	Question #6. This approach may not in the long run be a cost saver or a producer of superior equipment; but in my judgment it is far superior to the alternative, that of going out again on contract for a 940 light table.	
25X1	2 indicated that we could resubmit this with the correction of a small typographical error on page 2, and he would approve it and pass it on through the chain.	
	Chier, Development Starr, TDS	25X′
25X1	Attachment: (1) Comments from dated 3 Oct 67	P1.72
25X1	Approved For Release 2005/06/06: CIA-RDP78B04770A001200060010-3   Excluded from cultimate Addressee   1 - NPIC/TDS/DS   Deck of the Addressee   2 NPIC/TDS/DS   Feb.   Company of the Addressee   Company of the A	Mark of A

Appro TAN SHIPPER 2085/96/DBAT CIA-RDB78B04770A001200060010-3

TO:

ROOM NO. BUILDING

FROM:

ROOM NO. BUILDING

FORM:

ROOM NO. BUIL

FORM NO. BUIL

ROOM NO. BUIL

FORM NO. BUIL

ROOM N

1) What happened is the two partotype tables produced in FY/65-By when? What cost? - See Equip 50mming \$1,18,19 (2) How wany NPIC personnel in wheel in the proposed retropt? How long? When? Why Ops shaff? (3) What sort of in-lune quatrial test planned? a popularity content is implied, more than a tadmical endration. (4) What wakes you think [ Il uspond to specs? Not beending to your upont of their Approved For Release 2005/06/06: CIA-RDP78B04770A001200060010-3 as had own dicated?

Approved Fon Release 2005 0000 : GH-RDP78504770001200060010-3 light the deselopments underway? Purposed? Done? How many hove we had so for? Results? See Fruis (a) I'm apaid I'm not all fiel up with this proposed inture - Some how it just doesn't hippers me as harmy potential as a cost same, a produce of superior equipment. 10/3

pp/0/2017 0 Ret 1 2 2005/06/06 2 1 / RET 1 6B0 47 70 0012000 60010-3 1 NOV-1/968 Production versions 1 april 1969 52 man days movitoring 30 man Lays machine shops + perform Production Versions 26 man days Performance Stoff 13 man days monitor Predicated upon 1 NOV 1969 sign off. and fost evaluation lyoperational components. week or less per item proved For Release 2005/06/06: CIA-RDF 8B04770A001200060010-3 (Soud to

25X1

25X1